

FORTESCUE METALS GROUP LTD - PUBLIC REPORT 2013

Part 1 - Corporation details

|--|

End Period

od 30/6/2013

Controlling corporation

Insert the name of the controlling corporation exactly as it is registered with the EEO Program

Fortescue Metals Group Ltd

Table 1.1 - Major changes to corporate group structure or operations

Table 1.1 – Major changes to corporate group structure or operations in the last 12 months

extension of the main rail line to Christmas Creek mine, additional locomotives and carriages and the completion of a spur line to million tonnes per annum (mtpa) to 81 mtpa on its way to 155mtpa expected in 2013-14. Mining has expanded with the delivery into unloader and associated conveyor/stacking equipment and an expansion of ore-reclaimer / ship-loading circuits from one to three Solomon mine. Port infrastructure has also grown with the addition of two new shipping berths, the introduction of a second train the rail transport infrastructure has expanded with the duplication of the main rail line between Herb Elliott Port and Cloudbreak, an production of the Solomon mine and the expansion of mining and processing at Christmas Creek mine. To match this growth in mining In the past 12 months Fortescue has undergone a dramatic expansion of its iron ore operation growing its exported product from 57

Declaration

Declaration of accuracy and compliance

The information included in this report has been reviewed and noted by the board of directors and is to the best of my knowledge, correct and in accordance with the *Energy Efficiency Opportunities Act 200*6 and Energy Efficiency Opportunities Regulations 2006. All opportunities have been assessed to a level of accuracy that is commensurate with the financial investment required for implementation.

Neville Power - Chief Executive Officer

Date: 12th November 2013



Part 2 - Assessment outcomes

Table 2.1 – Assessment details

Name of entity	Nome of oneity	
Cidadi day dilibriilas Cidad Millics (Cilicitasci Michais i ty Fra)	Cloudhreak and Christmas Creek Mines (Chichester Metals Ptv td)	

A. Total corporate energy use in the last financial year	12,659,9461	ව
B. Total energy use covered by assessments	12,659,946	GJ
C. Total percentage of energy use assessed (B ÷ A) x 100	100	%

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment:

Pty Ltd Operations. mine, a representative assessment to cover both Cloudbreak and Christmas Creek mines. Together these two mines represent our Chichester Metals During the financial year 2009-2010, Fortescue Metals Group Ltd (Fortescue) commissioned a detailed energy assessment across the Cloudbreak

accommodation, power station generators, the ore processing facility (OPF) and the associated mine conveying and train loading systems miners; wheel loaders; bulldozers and excavators; and fixed resources including: bore field and water movement infrastructure; mine villages The Cloudbreak assessment included detailed analysis of energy consumed by the mobile mine resources including: haul trucks; road trains; surface

identified for the mine sites. some projects has already commenced or been completed. Of the twenty (20) projects selected for detailed analysis (KE4), fourteen (14) were representatives of each operational area ensured that informed decisions on these projects have been made in a timely manner. Implementation of A number of significant energy efficiency opportunities were identified through the assessment process. Involvement in the process by senior

energy efficiency based on energy per tonne of effective material movements undertaken across operations. The key metric for the mine sites is Fortescue is continuing its rapid growth strategy, which will result in increases in total energy consumption. Fortescue is aiming to further improve its This decision was made to enable opportunities identified at an early stage in the company's development to be rolled into future expansions Fortescue elected to assess all operations within the first assessment year rather than spread the assessments over the five year assessment cycle

'tonnes of material moved per gigajoule of energy', but a series of subordinate metrics report energy usage against other facets of mining activity

¹Total energy used by Fortescue in 2012/13 for the facilities covered by this report in accordance with the Assessment and Reporting Schedule (ARS) (Chichester Metals Pty Ltd - Cloudbreak and Christmas Creek mines and The Pilbara Infrastructure Pty Ltd - Herb Elliott Port and Thomas Rail Yards (previously Rowley Marshalling Yards) differs from that reported for these sites under the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act (NGER). This is due to differences in the way EEO and NGER calculate energy use from on-site power generation.



Table 2.2 - Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment

Status of opportunities identified	identified	Total Number of opportunities	Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)
Business	Implemented	Sī	129,534
response	Implementation commenced	0	0
	To be implemented	0	0
	Under investigation	2	20,912
	Not to be implemented	7	20,769
Outcomes of assessment	Total identified	14	171,215

Note: This year (2012-13) two particular haul truck types, specifically mentioned in four of the EEO Opportunities, have been removed from the Cloudbreak mine fleet. These four related Opportunities were last year reported as "Under investigation", but this year are being reported as "Not to be implemented" and the associated energy savings set to zero.

Please note that corporate groups are not required to report opportunities with a payback greater than four years. Reporting this data is voluntary.



Table 2.3 - Details of significant opportunities identified in the assessment

It is compulsory to report at least 1 example of a significant opportunity for improving the energy efficiency for the controlling corporation that has been identified in assessments. If a corporation has structured assessments to relate to business units or key activities they should report one significant opportunity for each of those entities to which the assessment applies.



Part 2 - Assessment outcomes

Table 2.1 – Assessment details

Name of entity	
The Pilbara Infra	
ıe Pilbara Infrastructure Pty Ltd - T	
Ltd - Thomas Rail Yard (prev	
Yard (previously	
Rowley Marsha	
lling Yard)	

A. Total corporate energy use in the last financial year	2,479,371	GJ
B. Total energy use covered by assessments	2,479,371	GJ
C. Total percentage of energy use assessed (B ÷ A) x 100	100	%

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment:

including the Rowley Marshalling Yards, recently renamed to Thomas Rail Yard. During the financial year 2009-2010, Fortescue Metals Group (Fortescue) commissioned a detailed energy assessment across the group members,

The assessment of Rowley (as it was then) focused on the locomotive fleet which represented over 90% of the energy consumption for the facility.

projects selected for detailed analysis across Fortescue, two (2) are associated with the Thomas Rail Yard operation. representatives of each operational area ensured that informed decisions on these projects have been made in a timely manner. Of the twenty (20) A small number of significant energy efficiency opportunities were identified through the assessment process. Involvement in the process by senior

This decision was made to enable opportunities identified at an early stage in the company's development to be rolled into future expansions Fortescue elected to assess all operations within the first assessment year rather than spread the assessments over the 5 -year assessment cycle.

metric is the measure of tonnes of ore railed per gigajoule of energy consumed energy efficiency based on energy per tonne of effective material movements undertaken across operations. The Thomas Rail operation's specific Fortescue is continuing its rapid growth strategy, which will result in increases in total energy consumption. Fortescue is aiming to further improve its

¹Total energy used by Fortescue in 2012/13 for the facilities covered by this report in accordance with the Assessment and Reporting Schedule (ARS) (Chichester Metals Pty Ltd -Cloudbreak and Christmas Creek mines and The Pilbara Infrastructure Pty Ltd - Herb Elliott Port and Rowley Marshalling Yards now Thomas Rail Yard) differs from that reported for these sites under the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act (NGER). This is due to differences in the way EEO and NGER calculate energy use from on-site power generation.



Table 2.2 - Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment

Status of opportunities identified	identified	Total Number of opportunities	Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)
Business	Implemented	>	9,534
response	Implementation commenced	0	0
	To be implemented	0	0
	Under investigation	_	27,792
	Not to be implemented	0	0
Outcomes of assessment	Total identified	2	37,326



Table 2.3 - Details of significant opportunities identified in the assessment

It is compulsory to report at least 1 example of a significant opportunity for improving the energy efficiency for the controlling corporation that has been identified in assessments. If a corporation has structured assessments to relate to business units or key activities they should report one significant opportunity for each of those entities to which the assessment applies.

Description of opportunity No. 1	Type of information to be covered
Installation of auto start/stop on locomotives to reduce idle times	Equipment type: GE – 9 locomotives
Each locomotive engine logs lifetime data for a number of parameters, including throttle position and idle	Business response: AESS was implemented in 2010-11 for all 15
time. Although the idle fuel consumption rate is fairly low, the data showed that the engines remain idling for up to 74% of the time resulting in approximately 7% of lifetime fuel consumption associated with	Locomotives. EEO Status "Implemented"
idling. While some idle time will always be necessary for the locomotives to maintain battery charge and	Energy saved (GJ): 9,534
brake pressures, it was clear that it could be reduced.	Greenhouse gas abated (tCO2-e): 666
Analysis showed that up to 675 kL of diesel may be saved annually by reducing idle time for the 15	\$ saved: \$214,890
locomotives in operation at the time of Assessment. The installation of GE's proprietary loco Automatic	Payback period: >2 years
Engine Stop Start (AESS) system on each of the 15 was a primary tool to achieve at least some of that reduction. The AESS system has been installed on all the original 15 locomotives and immediately 23%	
of the time spent at 'idle' was removed. Whilst there is no direct means of measuring all of the fuel	
savings associated with reducing idle time, the AESS software allows us to record the hours that AESS	
shuts down the engine. This information enables the calculation of diesel savings for this opportunity.	
New locomotives in operation this year need modifications to enable the installation and operation of	
AESS and those modification are currently being undertaken.	



Part 2 - Assessment outcomes

Table 2.1 – Assessment details

Name of entity	The Pilbara Infrastructure Pty Ltd - Herb Elliott Port		
A. Total corporate el	A. Total corporate energy use in the last financial year	580,5941	GJ
B. Total energy use	B. Total energy use covered by assessments	580,594	ව

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment:

Total percentage of energy use assessed (B ÷ A) x 100

100

%

including Herb Elliott Port. During the financial year 2009-2010, Fortescue Metals Group (Fortescue) commissioned a detailed energy assessment across the Group members,

machinery used on the site. accounting for more than 80% of the energy consumption at the Port. The Port relied on the representative assessment from Cloudbreak for the heavy The Port assessment focused on the conveyor systems and mechanics that service the train unloader, stockpile management and ship loader

projects selected for detailed analysis across Fortescue, four (4) are associated with the Port operation representatives of each operational area ensured that informed decisions on these projects have been made in a timely manner. Of the twenty (20) A number of significant energy efficiency opportunities were identified through the assessment process. Involvement in the process by senior

Fortescue elected to assess all operations within the first assessment year rather than spread the assessments over the 5 -year assessment cycle This decision was made to enable opportunities identified at an early stage in the company's development to be rolled into future expansions

of tonnes of ore loaded per gigajoule of energy consumed energy efficiency based on energy per tonne of effective material movements undertaken across operations. The Port's specific metric is the measure Fortescue is continuing its rapid growth strategy, which will result in increases in total energy consumption. Fortescue is aiming to further improve its

¹Total energy used by Fortescue in 2012/13 for the facilities covered by this report in accordance with the Assessment and Reporting Schedule (ARS) (Chichester Metals Pty Ltd -Cloudbreak and Christmas Creek mines and The Pilbara Infrastructure Pty Ltd - Herb Elliott Port and Rowley Marshalling Yards now Thomas Rail Yard) differs from that reported for these sites under the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act (NGER). This is due to differences in the way EEO and NGER calculate energy use from on-site power generation.



Table 2.2 - Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment

Status of opportunities identified	s identified	Total Number of opportunities	Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)
Business	Implemented	1	3,427
response	Implementation commenced	0	0
	To be implemented	0	0
	Under investigation	ယ	10,325
	Not to be implemented	0	0
Outcomes of assessment	Total identified	4	13,752



Table 2.3 - Details of significant opportunities identified in the assessment

opportunity for each of those entities to which the assessment applies. It is compulsory to report at least 1 example of a significant opportunity for improving the energy efficiency for the controlling corporation that has been identified in assessments. If a corporation has structured assessments to relate to business units or key activities they should report one significant

'no-load' running periods. The Port has several train unloader conveyor circuits and several ship loading conveyor circuits and so the conveying system is designed into a set of 'routes' through the multitude of conveyor paths in the Port. Any conveyor running unloaded that is not part of a scheduled 'route' will shut down after a very short controlled time. Conveyor segments within a scheduled route will be allowed to run a little longer unloaded before they too are shut down by the program.	The Port Operations has agreed and implemented a set of program changes which limit the unmanaged	programming rule if required.	The proposed opportunity suggested that conveyors should be limited to a period of 30 minutes running	with no load for long periods for a number of reasons, some necessary and some not.	Reduce the time conveyors run unloaded	Description of opportunity No. 1
Payback period: Immediate	Estimate of \$ saved: \$126,864	Estimate of the Greenhouse gas abated (tCO2-e): 676	Estimated Energy saved (GJ): 3,427	Business response: Program the conveyors to run against business rules. Status "Implemented"	Equipment type: Conveyor systems transporting ore	Type of information to be covered



Part 3 - Transition to second cycle

This part should only be completed by 2006–07 trigger year corporations transitioning to the second cycle.

Table 3a - Details of business response to opportunities under investigation as at 30 June 2012

In December 2012, many corporations reported energy efficiency opportunities that were still under investigation as at 30 June 2012. This report should advise what your business response to these opportunities has been—implemented or not to be implemented. If you intend to further investigate these opportunities, they should be reported in the future public reports as opportunities identified in the second cycle.

For each entity that had energy efficiency opportunities that were still under investigation as at 30 June 2012, please complete the following table

Nam	Name of entity								
			Estimated	energy sa	vings per a	nnum by F	Estimated energy savings per annum by payback period (GJ)	riod (GJ)	Total estimated energy
Status of opportunities identified to an	ties identified to an	Total	0-2 years	ears	2–4 years	ears	> 4 years	ears	savings per annum (GJ)
accuracy of <u>better t</u>	accuracy of <u>better than</u> or equal to ±30%	opportunities	No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	
As reported in December 2012	Under investigation								
Business	Implemented								
response as at 30 June 2013	Not to be Implemented								
	To be evaluated/reported in the second cycle								